Case 1:07-cv-09043-AKH	Document 1	Filed 10/05/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	ζ		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
KEITH ZAVILOWITZ AND MARY ZAVILOW	TTZ	DOCKET NO.	
Plair	ntiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH	ORT FORM")
		COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	E
- against -		WINDIEN COME	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	ndants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvis 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Co		•	U ,
	NOTICE OF A	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth,	erein in addition e are marked wit	n to those paragraphs th an ' \vec{\vec{\vec{\vec{\vec{\vec{\vec{	specific to the individual

Plaintiffs, KEITH ZAVILOWITZ AND MARY ZAVILOWITZ, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

a citizer	of New York residing at 33	Park Drive North, Staten	Island, NY 10314-0000.
		(OR)	
2.	Alternatively, □	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
		\	
	D1	read this document carefu	11

Case 1:07-cv-09043-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 2 of 11
York residing at 33 Park Drive North, Staten Island, It to the Injured Plaintiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times I KEITH ZAVILOWITZ, and be the injuries sustained by her hu	nafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New NY 10314-0000, and has the following relationship therein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to asband (his wife), Plaintiff KEITH ZAVILOWITZ. Other:
4. In the period from 9/11/2001 to 9/13/2 Police Department (NYPD) as a Sergeant at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York
Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) From on or about _9/11/2001 until _9/13/2001; Approximately _14 hours per day; for	The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
Approximately _2_ days total. ===================================	✓ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
The Fresh Kills Landfill From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	From on or about 11/18/2001 until 11/18/2001; Approximately 0 hours per day; for Approximately 0 days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	pper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5. Injured Plaintiff	
above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
_	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	\blacksquare ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	\blacksquare AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
=======================================	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
·	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	-
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-09043-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☑; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify): ; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.			
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION	
of lial law:			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive	
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation	
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 	
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined	
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death	
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff	

Other: _____

Case 1:07-cv-09043-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

V	Cancer Injury: Cancer			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset: <u>8/6/2003</u> Date physician first connected this injury to			Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: <u>N/A.</u>		V	Fear of Cancer
	Date of onset:			Date of onset: $\frac{8/6}{2003}$
	Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
	W IC WOIK.			date
	Digestive Injury: <u>N/A.</u>		V	Other Injury: <u>Sleeping Problems</u>
	Date of onset:			Date of onset: <u>8/6/2003</u>
	Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: <u>To be supplied at a later</u>
	WIC WOIK.			date
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.			
	<i>y</i> 8 8			of infuries that may be alleged.
Groundama	2. As a direct and proximate result on the past suffered and/or		ne injui	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
	2. As a direct and proximate result on the past suffered and/or		ne injui	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ====	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:		ne injui	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== √	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of		ne injui	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life		ne injui	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity		ne injui	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓ ✓	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of		ne injui	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓ ✓	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of		ne injui	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation		ne injui	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and		ne injui	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ Medical monitoring

✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $\label{eq:power_power} Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \ jury.$

Dated: New York, New York September 28, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Keith Zavilowitz and Mary

Zavilowitz

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway

12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York September 28, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Io: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK				
KEITH ZAVILOWITZ (AND WIFE, MARY ZAVILOWITZ),				
Plaintiff(s) - against -				
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,				
Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT				
To Attorney(s) for				
Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,				
Attorney(s) for				
LEASE TAKE NOTICE: NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on20 atM. Dated, Yours, etc.,				